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The Fundamental Design Issue

- Even with the oddities of Verilog, the actual writing of HDL code is relatively quick
  - General sensitivity lists, what were they thinking!!

- Verilog emacs auto-modes (www.ultranet.com/~wsnyder/veripool) key for removing the worst drudgery

- Real time sink is getting code to work “correctly”

- Problem only gets worse when include interfaces between multiple designers and multiple standard buses

- Multiple coding standards and strategies adopted to deal with the issue
Coding Standards

• Help find problems early by identifying common problems
  – Naming conventions
    wires vs registers, clock domain suffix, module prefix, etc
  – Lint checking software
  – Limits on module size
  – Standards for case statements

• Very beneficial but taken to an extreme can be painful
  – Prefix requirements leading to very long names

• Limits to what coding standards can accomplish
Coding Strategy - Powerful Verification Medicine

- Modular Design
- Reference Designs
- Bus Checkers
- Design by Contract - Module Level Assertion Checks
  - Add checks to verify key input/output/internal requirements of module
    - Approach fundamental part of Eiffel programming language
    - Offshoot of formal program verification
  - Enhances reusability and debuggability of code
    - Acts to limit allowable operating space of the module
    - Documents and verifies key requirements of the code
  - Unambiguously notifies user/designer when key conditions violated
Agenda

• Motivate use of module level watchdog logic

• Illustrate how watchdog logic is easy to include
  – Synthesizable watchdog logic
  – Watchdog logic macros disguised as Verilog system calls
  – Verilog preprocessor to expand watchdog macros
  – Synopsys’ ability to optimize away unneeded logic
  – Synopsys translate on/off pairs elimination

• Guidelines for using watchdog logic

• Conclusions
Synthesizable Watchdog Logic

• Add watchdog logic as module is created
  – Serves to highlight key requirements during module creation

• Put watchdog logic directly in synthesized code
  – Close proximity between checking logic and what is being checked
  – No separate module to maintain

• Insert watchdog logic in module in manner which does not generate any corresponding real hardware
  – Check code should only be software modeling artifact
  – Needs to be compatible with lint, coverage, and synthesis tools

• Disguise watchdog logic as Verilog system calls
Assertion Macros Disguised as Verilog System Calls

- Watchdog logic tends to follow predictable form
  - Check condition, and print message if it is invalid
  - Want global variable to disable watchdog logic during chip initialization
  - Want watchdog logic to be ignored by non-simulation software

- Assertion macros greatly simplify writing of watchdog logic
- Discovered over the last few years, are only a few key macros needed to simplify writing watchdog logic
  - Disguising these macros as Verilog system calls causes them to be ignored by synthesis, lint, and coverage checking software

- For simulation only, macros are expanded to Verilog code using simple Verilog preprocessor
  - Macros expanded into just one long line to keep the absolute line numbers in the file unchanged
Five Key Assertion Macros

1) \$assert( <condition>, <msg> );
   Checks if condition is true
   Example: $assert(!(rd1 && rd2),"Multiple Reads\n"");

2) $assert_onehot( [<variables>], <msg> );
   Checks variable or variable list is one hot
   Example: $assert_onehot(sel_a,sel_b,"mux_selects\"");
   $assert_onehot(state_r[7:0],"State_r not one-hot\n");

3) $assert_amone( [<variables>], <msg> );
   Checks variable/variable list contains at most one logically valid condition
   Example: $assert_amone(gnt_a,gnt_b,"Multiple grants active\n");

4) $error( <level>, <msg> );
   Prints out error message and stops the simulation
   Example: $error(0,"Bad counter value=%x\n",count);

5) $info( <level>, <msg> );
   Prints informational message and continues the simulation
   Example: $info(1,"Reading bank -%x\n",mbank_r);
Run Verilog Preprocessor to Expand Macros

- Assertion macros expanded by simple Verilog preprocessor
- Example preprocessor at: www.ultranet/~wsnymder/veripool

```
vpm --date -o .vpm project/
```

- Traverses the “project/” directory tree and macro-preprocesses on all the Verilog files in the tree
- Puts all the resultant files in the “.vpm” directory (typically local to the machine where running simulation)
- The “--date” option indicates only want to preprocess those files which have been modified since the last time the preprocessor was run
Expansion of `$assert()`

```verilog
$assert(! (rd1 && rd2), "Multiple Reads\n");
```

```
/*vpm*/begin
  if (( !(rd1 && rd2)) ==0 && `c_esim_subrs._message_on !=0) begin
    $write("[%0t] %%E:%stest2.v:0070 : Multiple Reads\n %E in %m\n",
            $time, idm._id_ ascii);
    `pli.errors = `pli.errors+1;
  end
end /*vpm*/
```

- **Global disable**
- **Expansion of `$assert()`**
- **assert**
- **Global disable**
- **Module name and line number added by vpm**
- **grep of log for %E will show errors**
- **Error count used to terminate simulation a few cycles after error detected**
Expansion of $assert_onehot() 

$assert_onehot(d1,d2,d3, "Mux Selects\n");

```verbatim
case ( {d1,d2,d3} )
    3'b100, 3'b010, 3'b001, 3'bxxx: ;
    3'b000: if (`c_esim_subrs__message_on!=0) begin
        $write("[%0t] %E:%stest2.v:0080 : None Active (%s) --> ",
        $time,idm__id_ascii,({d1,d2,d3}));
        $write("Mux Selects\n");
        `pli.errors = `pli.errors + 1;
    end
default: if (`c_esim_subrs__message_on!=0) begin
        $write("[%0t] %E:%stest2.v:0080 : Multiple Active (%s) --> ",
        $time,idm__id_ascii,({d1,d2,d3}));
        $write("Mux Selects\n");
        `pli.errors = `pli.errors + 1;
        `pli.errors = `pli.errors + 1;
    end
endcase
```

- No error if only one input active
- Construction of case statement varies based on number of variables checking
- Include state of inputs in error messages
- Error: no inputs active
- Error: multiple inputs active
- Error: multiple inputs active
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Expansion of $info() 

```verbatim
/* vpm */
begin
if (__message >= (1)) begin
  $write("[{0t} -I:%stest2.v:0082 : Reading bank - %x\n",
         $time, idm._id_ascii, mbank_r);
end end /* vpm */
```

- Module variable `__message` automatically added by vpm and initialized to 5
- For all the macros, extra macro arguments are passed directly to the $write() system call

```
$info(1, "Reading bank - %x\n", mbank_r);
```

For all the macros, extra macro arguments are passed directly to the $write() system call.
Synopsys Aids the Watchdog Logic Writer

• Temporary variables often needed for watchdog logic
  – Delayed version of some logic

• **Synopsys aids by aggressively optimizing away unused logic**
  – Will optimize away logic which has no affect on the outputs of the module and no affect on the inputs to any user defined module
  – Will optimize away the full RTL logic cones
  – Will not optimize away user defined modules

• Optimization away of the logic happens in the input stage as the logic is being mapped to synthetic library elements
  – Logic removed independent of the compile options used

• Design Compile will optimize away library elements
  – Optimization happens in the compile stage and amount of optimization is dependant on the compile options used
Unused Logic Cones
Optimized Away

Original Code

module test3(e, clk, a, a1, a2, a3);
wire t1 = d3 && a;
always @(posedge clk) begin
    e <= #1 (a & a2 & a3);
    d1 <= #1 (a || s2);
    d2 <= #1 (d1 & a);
    d3 <= #1 (d2 + a1 + a2);
    $assert(d1==a3,"Inputs Overlap
        "$);
end
endmodule

Output from Synopsys

module test3(e, clk, a, a1, a2, a3);
an02d1 SG9 (.a1(a), .a2(a2), .z(n_3));
mfntnq1 e_reg (.da(a3), .db(1'b0),
        .sa(n_3), .cp(clk), .q(e));
endmodule

Combinatorial and sequential
logic unrelated to the module
outputs are optimized away
Logic Optimized Away in RTL input Stage

Inferred memory devices in process in routine test3 line 36 in file

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Register Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Width</th>
<th>Bus</th>
<th>MB</th>
<th>AR</th>
<th>AS</th>
<th>SR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e_reg</td>
<td>Flip-Flop</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current design is ‘test3’.

In initial mapping flip-flops d1 through d3 have already been optimized away
User Modules Not Optimized Away

Original Code

```
module test3(e1, clk, a, a1, a2, a3);
    inv_m iv1(.in(a), .out(a_i));
    inv_m iv2(.in(a1), .out(e1));
    inv_m iv3(.in(a1_d1r), .out(a1_i3));
    always @(posedge clk) begin
        a1_d1r <= #1 a1;
    end
endmodule
```

Output from Synopsys

```
module test3(e1, clk, a, a1, a2, a3);
    inv_m iv3(.in(a1_d1r));
    inv_m iv2(.in(a1), .out(e1));
    inv_m iv1(.in(a));
    dfp1nq0 a_d1r_reg(.d(a), .cp(clk),
                       .sdn(1'b1), .q(a1_d1r));
endmodule
```

- Inputs to user modules are not optimized away
- Unused outputs of user modules are optimized away
Avoid Synopsys Translate On/Off Pairs

• Assertion macros and Synopsys’ aggressive optimization away of unused logic virtually eliminate need for excluding code from synthesis

• Better way to exclude code is use Verilog preprocessor directives
  – Allows user more direct control over inclusion of code
  – Valid syntax requires closing `endif eliminating dangling “translate off” problem

• In general, we have found only three cases where code really needs to be excluded from synthesis

  1) Model instances want to avoid being compiled by Synopsys
     `ifdef synthesis `else
        gen_pc_log_pc1_log();     // generate pc logfile
     `endif
  2) Hierarchical name references in synthesizable code
     `ifdef synthesis `else
        $write("count=%x\n",`c_buf.count);
     `endif
  3) Modeling asynchronous set/reset flip-flops correctly

  code setup to only define “synthesis” during synthesis step
Guidelines for Including Watchdog Logic

• Selecting where to use watchdog logic is easy
• Useful in a wide variety of situations but can often be categorized into five or six basic types

1) Checking that state of a state machine is one hot

```verilog
always @(posedge clk) begin
    $assert_onehot(req_sm[7:0],"Req state vector is not one hot\n");
end
```

2) Taming not fully specified case statements (those requiring //synopsys full_case)

```verilog
$assert_onehot(adrs_sel==3'b010,adrs_sel==3'b100,adrs_sel==3'b000,
                "Bad adr_sel mux select = %x\n",adr_sel);
```

Typically in @posedge block to keep transient values from triggering
Guidelines Continued

3) Verifying Interface Logic

\$assert( !(grant & !req), "Grant without request\n");
\$assert_ amone (reqa,reqb,reqc,"Multiple Requestors\n");
\$assert( !(req & req_d1r), "Back to back request signals\n");

4) Checking counters do not wrap

\$assert( !(count==4'hf & add_q & !del_q), "Q overflowed\n");
\$assert( !(count==4'h0 & !add_q & del_q), "Q underflowed\n");

5) Informing user that something has happened

\$info(0,"Just received new event: type=%x\n",event_type);

6) Printing an error message if “default” case is reached

default: \$error(0,"Default xx-yy condition has been reached\n");
Conclusions

• Adding watchdog logic to verify characteristics of a module remain invariant is a fundamental design strategy improvement.

• In our experience, watchdog logic will be readily added by HDL designer only if these checks can easily and transparently be added directly to HDL code:
  – Disguise assertion checks as Verilog system calls
  – Utilize Design Compiler’s optimization away of unneeded logic

• This approach allows assertion checks to be freely constructed without need to add additional directives to HDL code.